Prepared for submission to JHEP MITP/15-122

arXiv:1512.08389v1 [hep-th] 28 Dec 2015

Evaluating Multiple Polylogarithm Values at Sixth Roots of Unity up to Weight Six

Johannes M. Henn[a] **Alexander V. Smirnov**[b] **Vladimir A. Smirnov**[c,d]

[a] *Institut für Physik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany*
[b] *Research Computing Center, Moscow State University, 119992 Moscow, Russia*
[c] *Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics of Moscow State University, 119992 Moscow, Russia*
[d] *Institut für Mathematik und Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 12489 Berlin, Germany*

E-mail: henn@uni-mainz.de, asmirnov80@gmail.com, smirnov@theory.sinp.msu.ru

Abstract: We evaluate multiple polylogarithm values at sixth roots of unity up to weight six, i.e. of the form $G(a_1, \ldots, a_w; 1)$ where the indices a_i are equal to zero or a sixth root of unity, with $a_1 \neq 1$. For $w \leq 6$, we present bases of the linear spaces generated by the real and imaginary parts of $G(a_1, \ldots, a_w; 1)$ and present a table for expressing them as linear combinations of the elements of the bases.

Keywords: multiple polylogarithms, sixth roots of unity, shuffle and stuffle relations

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Preliminaries 2

3 Solving relations for MPL 3

4 Discussion and conclusion 6

A Bases 6

1 Introduction

In the study of Feynman integrals multiple polylogarithms (MPL), also called hyperlogarithms [1] or Goncharov polylogarithms [2], play an important role. They are defined as iterated integrals over integration kernels $\mathrm{d}t/(t-a_i)$, for some set of numbers a_i. More precisely,

$$G(a_1,\ldots,a_w;z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{t-a_1}\, G(a_2,\ldots,a_w;t)\,\mathrm{d}t \tag{1.1}$$

with $a_i, z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $G(z)=1$. In the special case where $a_i = 0$ for all i one has by definition

$$G(0,\ldots,0;x) = \frac{1}{n!}\,\ln^n x\,. \tag{1.2}$$

If $a_w \neq 0$, then $G(\rho a_1,\ldots,\rho a_w;\rho z) = G(a_1,\ldots,a_w;z)$ so that one can express such MPL in terms of $G(\ldots;1)$. The length of the index vector is called the weight.

MPL are very important functions in the field of evaluating multiloop Feynman integrals because they appear quite naturally and are very often involved in results – see, e.g., recent papers [3–8].

One often needs to evaluate MPL at special values of some variable corresponding to a physically interesting boundary point of the Feynman integrals. For example, in the case of massive form factor integrals, a specific value of such a variable corresponds to the threshold of creating a pair of massive particles. This leads to Goncharov polylogarithms with a certain constant set if indices. In this paper, we focus on the case where the indices a_i are equal either to zero or a sixth root of unity, i.e. taken from the seven-letters alphabet $\{0, r_1, r_3, -1, r_4, r_2, 1\}$ with

$$r_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm \sqrt{3}\,\mathrm{i}\right) = \lambda^{\pm 1}\,, \quad r_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2}\left(-1 \pm \sqrt{3}\,\mathrm{i}\right) = \lambda^{\pm 2}\,, \quad \lambda = e^{\pi \mathrm{i}/3} = r_1\,. \tag{1.3}$$

This specific case appears in a number of physically interesting problems, e.g. in 3-loop QCD corrections to the rho-parameter [9], in the $H \to Z\gamma$ decay at two loops [10], and

in certain massive form factor integrals at three loops [11]. Other related references where sixth roots of unity appear include [12–14].

There is a natural and simple way to understand whether Feynman integrals of a given family can be evaluated in terms of MPL. Let us assume that we are dealing with Feynman integrals depending on two scales so that there is just one variable, x, in the corresponding differential equations. Suppose that it is possible to convert these equations into the form [15]

$$\partial_x \vec{f}(t,\epsilon) = \epsilon \sum_i \frac{A_i}{x - r_i} \vec{f}(x,\epsilon)\,, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\vec{f}(t,\epsilon)$ is a basis of N suitably chosen Feynman integrals, and A_i are constant $N \times N$ matrices. Equation (1.4) may be interpreted as defining the generating functions $\vec{f}$ for MPL of the alphabet $\{r_i\}$. Indeed, the expansion coefficient $\vec{f}^k(t)$ appearing in $\vec{f}(x,\epsilon) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \epsilon^k \vec{f}^k(x)$ are weight k MPL.

Whenever an equation of the type (1.4) is established, one can therefore prove number-theoretic results for the corresponding Feynman integrals, namely what type of transcendental constants can appear in their ϵ expansion, to all orders in ϵ. As an example, in this way it was shown that for all massless planar three-loop four-point integrals only harmonic polylogarithms [16] appear [17]. (A similar result was obtained for a special class of multi-loop ladder-type integrals in [18]). The latter evaluate, at special values to multiple zeta values, or Euler sums.[1]

In the next section, we specify the goal of this paper, and mention previous related results in the literature. In Section 3, we solve various relations for the real and imaginary parts of $G(a_1,\ldots,a_w;1)$ up to $w=6$ recursively with respect to w. We construct bases of the linear spaces generated by $\mathrm{Re}\,G(a_1,\ldots,a_w;1)$ and $\mathrm{Im}\,G(a_1,\ldots,a_w;1)$ and explain how the table of results expressing them as linear combinations of the elements of the bases was obtained. In Conclusion, we discuss our results and perspectives. Unfactorizable elements of our bases up to weight 6 are presented in Appendix.

2 Preliminaries

Our goal is the evaluation of all the MPL $G(a_1,\ldots,a_w;1)$ up to $w=6$, with $a_1 \neq 1$ and indices a_i equal either to zero or a sixth root of unity, i.e. taken from the seven-letters alphabet $\{0, r_1, r_3, -1, r_4, r_2, 1\}$ of eq. (1.3).

At a given weight w, let us denote by $M(w)$ the linear space composed of linear combinations of $6 \times 7^{w-1}$ numbers $G(a_1,\ldots,a_w;1)$ (with $a_1 \neq 1$) with rational coefficients. One can consider separately the real and imaginary parts of the MPL

$$G(a_1,\ldots,a_w;1) = G_R(a_1,\ldots,a_w) + \mathrm{i}\, G_I(a_1,\ldots,a_w) \tag{2.1}$$

and define similarly the linear spaces $M_R(w)$ and $M_I(w)$. Note that $M_R(w)$ can involve products of $M_I(w')$ and $M_I(w)$ can involve products of $M_R(w')$.

[1] For more details on nested sums appearing in Feynman integrals, see, e.g., [19].

It is well known that MPL satisfy various relations. Using shuffle, stuffle relations and some relations following from certain changes of variables, Broadhurst constructed [9] such bases up to weight 3, where all the elements are described in terms of $\mathrm{Cl}_2(\pi/3)$, $\log(2)$, $\log(3)$, π, $\zeta(3)$ and Li_3 of certain arguments. Bases of weight 4 can be found in [20]. Bases for the alphabet with letters $0, 1, -1$ were constructed in [21]. Bases for the multiple Deligne values, i.e. for the alphabet with letters $0, -1, r_2$ were presented in [22]. Constants present in results for Feynman integrals up to weight 5 were discussed in [13, 20, 23, 24]. There is also a recent method based on the motivic coaction [25] which can be used to construct bases. In this paper, we will us the method of [22], in the form presented in ref. [26].

3 Solving relations for MPL

The MPL can be represented as multiple nested sums

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Li}_{m_1,\ldots,m_k}(x_1,\ldots,x_k) &= \sum_{n_k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n_{k-1}=1}^{n_k-1}\cdots\sum_{n_1=1}^{n_2-1}\frac{x_1^{n_1}}{n_1^{m_1}}\cdots\frac{x_k^{n_k}}{n_k^{m_k}}\\ &\equiv Z_{m_k,\ldots,m_1}(\infty;x_k,\ldots,x_1)\\ &= (-1)^k\,G\left(\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{m_k-1},\frac{1}{x_k},\ldots,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{m_1-1},\frac{1}{x_1\ldots x_k};1\right)\,. \end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Since the arguments of the Li- and Z-functions involved have the form $x_i = \lambda^{p_i}$ for $p_i = 0,\ldots,5$ we introduce an auxiliary function

$$L_{m_1,\ldots,m_k}(p_1,\ldots,p_k) = \mathrm{Li}_{m_1,\ldots,m_k}\left(\lambda^{p_1},\ldots,\lambda^{p_k}\right)\,. \tag{3.2}$$

To achieve our goal, we start with using the so-called shuffle, stuffle, regularization and distribution relations. The shuffle relations have the form

$$G(a_1,\ldots,a_{w_1};z)\,G(b_1,\ldots,b_{w_2};z) = \sum_{c\in a\uplus b} G(c_1,\ldots,c_{w_1+w_2};z)\,, \tag{3.3}$$

where $a\uplus b$ denotes the set of all the mergings of the vectors $a=(a_1,\ldots,a_{w_1})$ and $b=(b_1,\ldots,b_{w_2})$, i.e., all possible concatenations in which relative orderings of a and b are preserved. These relations follow from the integral representation (1.1). We will apply them at $z=1$.

The so-called stuffle relations follow from the sum representation. They are written down in the language of the functions (3.2),

$$L_{a_1,\ldots,a_{w_1}}(p_1,\ldots,p_{w_1})\,L_{b_1,\ldots,b_{w_2}}(q_1,\ldots,q_{w_2}) = \sum_{c\in a*b} L_{c_1,\ldots,c_n}(r_1,\ldots,r_n)\,, \tag{3.4}$$

where the asterisk denotes the stuffle product. In contrast to the shuffle product, the sum goes, in particular, over all the shuffles of the vectors $a=(a_1,\ldots,a_{w_1})$ and $b=(b_1,\ldots,b_{w_2})$ where the shuffling of $(p_1,\ldots,p_{w_1})$ and $(q_1,\ldots,q_{w_2})$ resulting in a vector $(r_1,\ldots,r_n)$ is the same as for a and b. In addition, some of the letters from the sets a and b are allowed to be

put together and, in this case, the indices a_i and b_j are summed up and the corresponding arguments p_i and q_j are summed up modulo six. Relations (3.4) are written for $a_1 \geq 2, b_1 \geq 2$ for a given weight $w = \sum a_i + \sum b_i$ and then are translated into the language of MPL $G(a_1, \ldots, a_w; 1)$.

The regularization relations [26] that we use are

$$\{L_1(0)L_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(q_1,\ldots,q_k)\}_{\text{stuffle}} - \{L_1(0)L_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(q_1,\ldots,q_k)\}_{\text{shuffle}} = 0\ , \tag{3.5}$$

where the first term in the difference is given by the right-hand side of (3.4) and the second term is obtained by writing down both factors in terms of MPL and applying the shuffle relation (3.3). The numbers $L_1(0)$ correspond to the variable T introduced in [27] in the case of multiple zeta values and used in [26] in the case of MPL at n-th roots of unity. These quantities are infinite and a regularization is implied, e.g. the upper limit in sums like in [27]. Singular terms are cancelled in the difference. Relations (3.5) are nothing but a part of regularized double shuffle relations of [26, 27].

If we write down regularized double shuffle relations [26] in the case, where at most first power of the variable T is present, and translate them into the language of MPL we obtain just the regularization relations (3.5). In the case of multiple zeta values, these first order in T relations are sufficient and relations with more powers of T do not provide additional information [27]. This phenomenon takes place also in our case: if we write down more general regularization relations, i.e. with $L_{1,\ldots,1}(0,\ldots,0)$ instead of $L_1(0)$, we do not obtain additional independent relations.

The distribution relations take the form

$$\mathrm{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = d^{a_1+\ldots+a_k-k} \sum_{(y_1,\ldots,y_k):\, y_j^d = x_j, j=1,\ldots,k} \mathrm{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(y_1,\ldots,y_k) \tag{3.6}$$

for $d = 2, 3, 6$.

We write down the shuffle, stuffle, regularization and distribution relations, then turn to the real and imaginary parts of the MPL according to (2.1) and use also the complex conjugation relations

$$G(a_1^*,\ldots,a_w^*;1) = G(a_1,\ldots,a_w;1)^* \tag{3.7}$$

where we have $r_1^* = r_2, r_3^* = r_4$.

The total number of these five sets of relations grows fast when the weight is increased. At weight 6, we have 654452 equations for the real parts and 654937 equations for the imaginary parts. To construct bases $B_R(w)$ and $B_I(w)$ in $M_R(w)$ and $M_I(w)$, correspondingly, we solve these relations. Let $D_{R/I}(w)$ be the number of elements in $B_{R/I}(w)$ and let $\bar{D}_{R/I}(w)$ be the corresponding numbers of unfactorizable elements, e.g. elements of the form $\mathrm{Re}\, G(a_1,\ldots,a_w;1)$ and $\mathrm{Im}\, G(a_1,\ldots,a_w;1)$.

We solve these relations up to weight 6 recursively with the respect to the weight. For a given weight w, let us assume that we have already solved the five sets of relations for all the weights $w' < w$, i.e. constructed bases $\bar{D}_{R/I}(w')$ for all $w' < w$. Thus, we have explicit formulae which Q-linearly express (with rational coefficients) any given element from $L_R(w')$ and $L_I(w')$ in terms of elements of the bases $B_R(w')$ and $B_I(w')$.

Then we proceed at weight w, i.e. solve the five sets of relations, where unknowns are the $6 \times 7^{w-1}$ numbers $G_R(a_1, \ldots, a_w; 1)$ or $G_I(a_1, \ldots, a_w; 1)$. In the products of constants of lower weights only elements from $M_R(w')$ and $M_I(w')$, with $w' = 1, 2, \ldots, w-1$ are left because we remove all the other products of this type with the help of the explicit formulae mentioned in the previous paragraph.

In this recursive setup, we do not need lifted relations obtained by multiplying a relation among MPL of weight w' by an MPL of weight $w - w'$ and applying then shuffle or stuffle relations for resulting products. This is because the information about all the relations of weights $w' = 1, 2 \ldots, w-1$ was already taken into account and is encoded in the explicit solutions, while shuffle or stuffle relations of weight w are taken into account at the current step. The relations for the $6 \times 7^{w-1}$ numbers $G_R(a_1, \ldots, a_w; 1)$ or $G_I(a_1, \ldots, a_w; 1)$ are linear equations. We solve them for $w = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$ with a code written in `Mathematica`.

It turns out, however, that the resulting constants, independent in the sense of these relations, are still Q-linearly dependent, i.e. one can linearly express some of them in terms of a smaller set of the constants and products of constants of lower weights. We did this with experimental mathematics using the `PSLQ` algorithm [28] as follows. After we discovered that a given set of elements present on the right-hand sides of our current solutions is linearly dependent, we started from the set of products of constants of lower weights which in all the cases was linearly independent. Then we added one by one elements of the given weight (present on the right-hand sides of the solutions) and, at each step, checked via `PSLQ` whether such an element can be expressed in terms of the previously collected elements or not and, if it could not be reduced to the previous set of elements, we included it into this set. For the evaluation of MPL, with the accuracy up to 4000 digits we applied the computer implementation [29] of `GiNaC` [30].

The dimension of our bases are shown in the following table, where in the last two columns we show the numbers of the additional relations for the real and imaginary parts found with `PSLQ`.

w	$\bar{D}_R(w)$	$D_R(w)$	$\bar{D}_I(w)$	$D_I(w)$	$PSLQ_R$	$PSLQ_I$
1	2	2	1	1		
2	1	5	1	3		
3	3	12	2	9		2
4	5	30	5	25	2	6
5	13	76	11	68	11	17
6	25	195	25	182	39	49

Unfactorizable elements of our bases up to weight 6 are presented in Appendix. Files with the complete bases and files with reductions of any MPL up to weight 6 to the elements of the bases can be downloaded from `https://goo.gl/UTg1hN`. The files with reduction contain lists of substitutions which give analytic results for all possible MPL under consideration as linear combinations of the elements of our bases. For convenience, we also present files with 4000 digits results for the elements of the bases.

4 Discussion and conclusion

Broadhurst found [31] that the dimensions of the spaces $L_R(w)$ and $L_I(w)$ are given, respectively, by $(F_{2w+2} + F_{w+1})/2$, and $(F_{2w+2} - F_{w+1})/2$, where $F(n)$ is a Fibonacci number. Our results up to weight six are in agreement with this result, i.e. the numbers of the elements of $B_R(w)$ and $B_I(w)$ are given by these formulae.

Broadhurst suggested [31], at any w, conjectured bases of $M_R(w)$ and $M_I(w)$, using only letters $0, -1, r_4$. Our results up to weight six confirm this conjecture. To see this, we evaluated the elements of the conjectured bases in terms of our bases and found that they are connected by an invertible matrix. Our results up to weight 6 also confirm the generalized parity conjecture by Broadhurst [9].

Zhao made the conjecture (Conjecture 9.3 in [26]) that whenever N is *non-standard*, i.e. has at least two prime factors (e.g. $N = 6$ in our case), then the number of MPL at Nth roots of unity coincides with the upper bounds of the motivic theory on $\mathbb{P}^1\backslash\{0, \infty, r_i\}$. These upper bounds for the motivic fundamental group were computed by Deligne and Goncharov [32] (in Section 5). Moreover, the numbers of the corresponding elements generators explicitly given in [32], exactly coincide at $N = 6$ with our numbers obtained by solving the various relations between MPL *and* revealing extra relations with `PSLQ`.

Since our results were obtained with the help of the `PSLQ` algorithm they have the status of experimental mathematics. However, they are quite convincing at least for us because the missing relations among elements of candidates for the bases were revealed with `PSLQ` using the accuracy of about 3000 digits, while the final checks were made with 4000 digits.

A natural task is to try to express all the elements of our bases in terms of the Clausen function, polylogarithms etc., similarly to how this was done [9] up to weight 3.

Our project originated from the evaluation [11] of three-loop vertex integrals at threshold, $q^2 = 4m^2$, where we obtained results in terms of $G(a_1, \ldots, a_w; 1)$ up to $w = 6$. Since MPL with the alphabet of eq. (1.3) appear also in other cases we hope that our results will be useful for the community.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to David Broadhurst, Francesco Moriello and Eric Panzer for fruitful discussions and various pieces of advice. J.M.H. is supported in part by a GFK fellowship and by the PRISMA cluster of excellence at Mainz university. The work of V.S. was partially supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (Humboldt Forschungspreis).

A Bases

At weight one, our basis for the real parts of $G(a; 1)$ contains two elements $\{G_R(-1), G_R(r_4)\}$ and our basis for the imaginary parts of $G(a; 1)$ contains one element $G_I(r_2)$.

At weight two, our basis for the real parts of $G(a_1, a_2; 1)$ contains one unfactorizable element $G_R(r_2, -1)$ and our basis for the imaginary parts of $G(a_1, a_2; 1)$ contains one unfactorizable element $G_I(0, r_2)$.

At weight three, our basis for the real parts of $G(a_1, a_2, a_3; 1)$ contains 3 unfactorizable elements $\{G_R(0,0,1), G_R(r_2,1,-1), G_R(r_2,1,r_3)\}$ and our basis for the imaginary parts of $G(a_1, a_2, a_3; 1)$ contains 2 unfactorizable elements $\{G_I(0,1,r_4), G_I(0,r_2,-1)\}$.

At weight four, our basis for the real parts of $G(a_1, \ldots, a_4; 1)$ contains 5 unfactorizable elements

$$\{G_R(0,0,r_2,-1), G_R(0,0,r_4,1), G_R(r_2,1,1,-1), G_R(r_2,1,1,r_3), G_R(r_2,1,r_2,-1)\}$$

and our basis for the imaginary parts of $G(a_1, \ldots, a_4; 1)$ contains 5 unfactorizable elements

$$\{G_I(0,0,0,r_2), G_I(0,1,1,r_4), G_I(0,1,r_2,-1), G_I(0,1,r_2,r_3), G_I(0,r_2,1,-1)\} .$$

At weight five, our basis for the real parts of $G(a_1, \ldots, a_5; 1)$ contains 13 unfactorizable elements

$$\begin{aligned}\{&G_R(0,0,0,0,1), G_R(0,0,1,1,-1), G_R(0,0,1,1,r_4), G_R(0,0,1,r_2,-1), G_R(0,0,1,r_2,r_3),\\ &G_R(0,0,1,r_2,r_4), G_R(0,0,r_2,1,-1), G_R(r_2,1,1,-1,r_2), G_R(r_2,1,1,1,-1),\\ &G_R(r_2,1,1,1,r_3), G_R(r_2,1,1,r_2,-1), G_R(r_2,1,1,r_2,r_3), G_R(r_2,1,1,r_4,-1)\}\end{aligned}$$

and our basis for the imaginary parts of $G(a_1, \ldots, a_5; 1)$ contains 11 unfactorizable elements

$$\begin{aligned}\{&G_I(0,0,0,1,r_2), G_I(0,0,0,1,r_4), G_I(0,0,0,r_2,-1), G_I(0,1,1,-1,r_2), G_I(0,1,1,-1,r_4),\\ &G_I(0,1,1,1,r_4), G_I(0,1,1,r_2,r_3), G_I(0,1,1,r_4,-1), G_I(0,1,1,r_4,r_1),\\ &G_I(0,1,r_2,r_3,r_2), G_I(0,r_2,1,1,-1)\} .\end{aligned}$$

At weight six, our basis for the real parts of $G(a_1, \ldots, a_6; 1)$ contains 25 unfactorizable elements

$$\begin{aligned}\{&G_R(0,0,0,0,r_2,-1), G_R(0,0,0,0,r_2,r_4), G_R(0,0,0,0,r_4,1), G_R(0,0,1,1,-1,r_2),\\ &G_R(0,0,1,1,-1,r_4), G_R(0,0,1,1,1,-1), G_R(0,0,1,1,1,r_4), G_R(0,0,1,1,r_2,-1),\\ &G_R(0,0,1,1,r_2,r_3), G_R(0,0,1,1,r_4,-1), G_R(0,0,1,1,r_4,r_1), G_R(0,0,1,1,r_4,r_2),\\ &G_R(0,0,1,r_2,1,-1), G_R(0,0,1,r_2,1,r_3), G_R(0,0,1,r_2,r_1,-1), G_R(0,0,1,r_2,r_1,r_4),\\ &G_R(r_2,1,1,1,-1,r_2), G_R(r_2,1,1,1,-1,r_3), G_R(r_2,1,1,1,1,-1), G_R(r_2,1,1,1,1,r_3),\\ &G_R(r_2,1,1,1,r_2,-1), G_R(r_2,1,1,1,r_2,r_3), G_R(r_2,1,1,1,r_3,r_2),\\ &G_R(r_2,1,1,1,r_4,-1), G_R(r_2,1,1,r_2,-1,r_2)\}\end{aligned}$$

and our basis for the imaginary parts of $G(a_1, \ldots, a_6; 1)$ contains 25 unfactorizable elements

$$\begin{aligned}\{&G_I(0,0,0,-1,1,r_2), G_I(0,0,0,-1,1,r_4), G_I(0,0,0,-1,r_2,1), G_I(0,0,0,0,0,r_2),\\ &G_I(0,0,0,1,1,r_4), G_I(0,0,0,1,r_2,-1), G_I(0,0,0,r_2,1,-1), G_I(0,0,0,r_2,1,r_3),\\ &G_I(0,0,0,r_2,r_2,-1), G_I(0,1,1,-1,r_2,-1), G_I(0,1,1,-1,r_2,r_1), G_I(0,1,1,-1,r_2,r_4),\\ &G_I(0,1,1,-1,r_4,r_3), G_I(0,1,1,1,-1,r_2), G_I(0,1,1,1,-1,r_4), G_I(0,1,1,1,1,r_4),\\ &G_I(0,1,1,1,r_2,r_3), G_I(0,1,1,1,r_4,-1), G_I(0,1,1,1,r_4,r_1), G_I(0,1,1,r_2,-1,r_2),\\ &G_I(0,1,1,r_2,-1,r_3), G_I(0,1,1,r_2,-1,r_4), G_I(0,1,1,r_2,r_3,-1),\\ &G_I(0,r_2,1,1,-1,r_2), G_I(0,r_2,1,1,1,-1)\} .\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] J. Lappo-Danilevsky, *Mémoire sur la théorie des systèmes des Ãľquations différentielles linéaires*, Chelsea, reprint (1953).

[2] A. B. Goncharov, *Math. Res. Lett.* **5** (1998) 497–516, [arXiv:1105.2076].

[3] J. M. Henn and V. A. Smirnov, JHEP **1311** (2013) 041 [arXiv:1307.4083].

[4] J. M. Henn, K. Melnikov and V. A. Smirnov, JHEP **1405** (2014) 090 [arXiv:1402.7078 [hep-ph]].

[5] F. Caola, J. M. Henn, K. Melnikov and V. A. Smirnov, JHEP **1409** (2014) 043 [arXiv:1404.5590 [hep-ph]].

[6] T. Gehrmann, A. von Manteuffel, L. Tancredi and E. Weihs, JHEP **1406** (2014) 032 [arXiv:1404.4853 [hep-ph]].

[7] A. von Manteuffel, R. M. Schabinger and H. X. Zhu, arXiv:1408.5134 [hep-ph].

[8] F. Dulat and B. Mistlberger, arXiv:1411.3586 [hep-ph].

[9] D. J. Broadhurst, Eur. Phys. J. C **8** (1999) 311 [hep-th/9803091].

[10] R. Bonciani, V. Del Duca, H. Frellesvig, J. M. Henn, F. Moriello and V. A. Smirnov, arXiv:1505.00567 [hep-ph].

[11] J. M. Henn, A. V. Smirnov and V. A. Smirnov, to appear.

[12] F. Brown, Commun. Math. Phys. **287** (2009) 925 [arXiv:0804.1660 [math.AG]].

[13] M. Y. Kalmykov and B. A. Kniehl, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **205-206** (2010) 129 [arXiv:1007.2373 [math-ph]].

[14] J. Ablinger, J. Blumlein and C. Schneider, J. Math. Phys. **52**, 102301 (2011) [arXiv:1105.6063 [math-ph]].

[15] J. M. Henn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 251601 (2013) [arXiv:1304.1806 [hep-th]].

[16] E. Remiddi and J. A. M. Vermaseren, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **15**, 725 (2000) [hep-ph/9905237].

[17] J. M. Henn, A. V. Smirnov and V. A. Smirnov, JHEP **1307**, 128 (2013) [arXiv:1306.2799 [hep-th]].

[18] E. Panzer, Ph.D. thesis.

[19] J. Blumlein, D. J. Broadhurst and J. A. M. Vermaseren, Comput. Phys. Commun. **181** (2010) 582 doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2009.11.007 [arXiv:0907.2557 [math-ph]].

[20] F. Moriello, Ph.D. Thesis (2013).

[21] D. J. Broadhurst, hep-th/9604128.

[22] D. Broadhurst, arXiv:1409.7204 [hep-th].

[23] J. Fleischer and M. Y. Kalmykov, Phys. Lett. B **470** (1999) 168 [hep-ph/9910223].

[24] A. I. Davydychev and M. Y. Kalmykov, Nucl. Phys. B **605** (2001) 266 [hep-th/0012189].

[25] F. Brown, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **68** (2012) 31 [arXiv:1102.1310 [math.NT]].

[26] J. Zhao, Documenta Mathematica, **15** (2010) 1. [arXiv:0707.1459 [math.NT]]

[27] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko, and D. Zagier, Comp. Math. **142** (2006), 307.

[28] H. R. P. Ferguson, D. H. Bailey, and S. Arno, *Analysis of PSLQ, an integer relation finding algorithm*, *Math. Comput.* **68** (1999) 351–369.

[29] J. Vollinga and S. Weinzierl, Comput. Phys. Commun. **167** (2005) 177.

[30] C. W. Bauer, A. Frink and R. Kreckel, cs/0004015 [cs-sc].

[31] D. J. Broadhurst. Lecture at the workshop *The interrelation between mathematical physics, number theory and noncommutative geometry* (Vienna, March 2–13, 2015). Slides available at `http://www.noncommutativegeometry.nl/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/Broadhurst.pdf`.

[32] P. Deligne and A. B. Goncharov, Annales Scientifiques de l'École, **38** (2005) 1.